

No. ID/FD/109-83/52289.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gayan Singh and the management of M/s Vijay Grinding Industries 16/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. *11425-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/12254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gayan Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

MEENAXI ANAND CHAUDHRI,

Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 26th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/42-83/51825.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Prem Singh and the management of M/s Rattan Chand Harjas Rai (Mouldings) Pvt. Ltd., 19/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prem Singh was justified and in order? if not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/178-83/51874.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Hira and the management of M/s Elite Ceramics, Plot No. 161, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A, of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in disputes or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hira is justified and in order? if not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 27th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/49-83/52007.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Sirajuddhin and the management of M/s Saz International Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 46-49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/37/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sirajuddhin was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?